

In the United States Court Of Appeals, 5th Circuit

Brian P. Carr, Rueangrong Carr, and Buakhao Von Kramer Plaintiffs versus United States, US Department of Justice, USPS, USPS OIG, USPS BoG, US CIGIE, Department of State, Department of State OIG, USCIS, DHS OIG, and SSA Defendants	Case No. 26-10025 Verified ¹ Motion to Seal ECF20-1 and ECF67-13 In the Record on Appeal Certificate of Conference – UNOPPOSED ² with Included Response
---	---

Motion to Seal ECF20-1 and ECF67-13 In the Record on Appeal

Table of Contents

Motion to Seal ECF20-1 and ECF67-13 In the Record on Appeal..... 1
 Table of Contents..... 1
 Verification of Motion..... 2
 Alphabetical Index..... 3
 Certificate of Conference Compliance.....3
 With Included Response..... 3
 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....4

Appellant, Brian Carr, pro se party requests that the court order sealing of ECF20-1 and ECF67-13 in the Record on Appeal (ROA) as they were improperly redacted and were replaced with the properly redacted [ECF24-1](#) and [ECF69-1](#).

The trial court sealed the documents based on [FRCP Rule 5.2](#) which is applicable

1 The Verification of Motion is listed in the Table Contents.
 2 The Certificate of Conference Compliance is listed in the Table of Contents.

to the appeal in accordance with [FRAP 25\(a\)\(5\)](#) which states:

(5) Privacy Protection. An appeal in a case whose privacy protection was governed by... [Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2](#)... is governed by the same rule on appeal.

The requests for sealing the documents in the trial court were motions [ECF24](#) and [ECF69](#) each unopposed and which supports this court sealing the documents.

For the above reason, Appellant requests that the court order sealing of ECF20-1 and ECF67-13.

Respectfully Submitted,

Verification of Motion

I, the undersigned plaintiff, hereby affirm under penalty of perjury in both the United States and Thailand that:

1. I have reviewed the above motion and believe all of the statements to be true to the best of my knowledge.
2. I have reviewed the associated documents and exhibits and believe them to be true and accurate copies with the exception of the documents identified as being redacted. The redacted documents have only been altered to remove sensitive personal information according to normal redaction procedures.

I hereby reaffirm that the above is true to the best of my knowledge under penalty of perjury in both the United States and Thailand.

/s Brian P. Carr

Brian P. Carr
1201 Brady Dr
Irving, TX 75061

Date: 16. February 2026
Location: Irving, TX

Alphabetical Index

ECF20-1..... 1 ff.
 ECF24..... 2
 ECF24-1..... 1
 ECF67-13..... 1 ff.
 ECF69..... 2
 ECF69-1..... 1
 FRAP 25..... 1
 FRCP Rule 5.2..... 1 f.

**Certificate of Conference Compliance
With Included Response**

This Motion to seal ECF20-1 and ECF67-13 is UNOPPOSED.

The conference was held via an email discussion with AUSA Parker with the response from USATXN on 10 Feb 2026 in which she stated:

Defendant is unopposed to the sealing of documents 20-1 and 67-13.

/s Brian P. Carr

Brian P. Carr
1201 Brady Dr
Irving, TX 75061

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On the recorded date of this document, I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of 5th Circuit United States Court Of Appeals using the electronic case filing system of the court. I also hereby certify that on this same date no copies were served via U.S. mail as all parties in this matter are enrolled in the court's electronic case filing (and service) system.

/s Brian P. Carr

Brian P. Carr
1201 Brady Dr
Irving, TX 75061